UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,334	12/30/2003	Paul L. Hickman	59147-8002.US02	7600	
45965 TECHNOLOG	7590 08/09/2007 Y & INTELLECTUAL PI	ROPERTY	EXAM	INER	
	STRATEGIES GROUP PC dba TIPS GROUP RICHMAN, GLENN E			GLENN E	
P. O. BOX 163 LOS ALTOS, 0	9 CA 94023-1639		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3764		
•					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	08/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/751,334		HICKMAN, PAUL L.		
Office Ac	tion Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Glenn Richm	ıan	3764		
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication	appears on the c	over sheet with the	correspondence address		
WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the si	IGER, FROM THE MAILING available under the provisions of 37 CF is the mailing date of this communication cified above, the maximum statutory peat or extended period for reply will, by stiffice later than three months after the m	G DATE OF THIS R 1.136(a). In no event, 1. eriod will apply and will e tatute, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be prize SIX (6) MONTHS from the property of the prize that	timely filed on the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 1	7 Mav 2007.				
· · <u> </u>	saction is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this appli	ce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
}	dance with the practice und	•	•			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-3,5,</i>	8,18 and 20-29 is/are pendi	ng in the applicat	ion.			
	e claim(s) is/are with					
5) Claim(s)						
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,</u>	<u>8,18 and 20-29</u> are subject t	to restriction and/	or election require	ment.		
Application Papers						
9) The specification	n is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
	filed on is/are: a) [objected to by the	Examiner.		
	ot request that any objection to					
				objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
	laration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119					
12) Acknowledgmer	nt is made of a claim for fore	eign priority unde	7 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)∐ All b)∐ Soi	me * c) None of:			, , , , ,		
1. Certified	copies of the priority docum	ents have been r	eceived.			
2. Certified						
3. Copies o	f the certified copies of the p	oriority document	s have been recei	ved in this National Stage		
application	on from the International Bui	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).			
* See the attached	detailed Office action for a	list of the certifie	d copies not receiv	red.		
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cite		4)	Interview Summar			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's3) Information Disclosure St	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) 5)	Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date Patent Application		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6)		. Start Appropriate		
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)	Offic	e Action Summary		Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20070805		
52 525 (1.64. 55-55)	Onic	o Action Summary	•	art of Faper 140./IVIail Date 20070803		

Art Unit: 3764

Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on 5/17/07 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

A further species requirement is required below:,

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Claims 25,26,27,29. The species are independent or distinct because of the different type of storage mediums.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3, 5, 8, 18, 20-24, 28 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/751,334

Art Unit: 3764

A telephone call was made to Paul Hickman on:8/3/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn Richman whose telephone number is 571-272-4981. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,334

Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Glenn Richman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3764 Page 4